

NEMA GRID MOD R1-2018

Reviewing the Business Case and Cost Recovery for Grid Modernization

Published by

National Electrical Manufacturers Association 1300 North 17th Street, Suite 900 Rosslyn, Virginia 22209

www.nema.org

© 2018 National Electrical Manufacturers Association. All rights including translation into other languages, reserved under the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions.

NOTICE

This report was prepared for National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) by The Brattle Group. It was prepared in accordance with The Brattle Group's engagement terms, and is intended to be read and used as a whole and not in parts. The report reflects the analyses and opinions of the authors and does not necessarily reflect those of The Brattle Group's clients or other consultants. There are no third party beneficiaries with respect to this report, and The Brattle Group does not accept any liability to any third party in respect of the contents of this report or any actions taken or decisions made as a consequence of the information set forth herein.

PREPARED BY: Sanem Sergici, Ph.D. Michelle Li Rebecca Carroll

CONTENTS

Exec	utive S	Summary	5	
I.	Purp	ose	9	
II.	Defin	ition of Grid Modernization Investments	9	
III.	Comi	mon Cost-Effectiveness Assessment Mechanisms	. 11	
IV.	Common Cost Recovery Mechanisms			
V.		view of Recent U.S. Grid Modernization Investments		
VI.		mary Discussion of Case Studies		
VII.		Takeaways		
	ndix A	•		
Appe	A.	Background		
	л. В.	AMI Plan		
	C.	Regulatory History		
	D.	Cost Benefit Analysis		
	E.	Cost Recovery		
	F.	Current Status.		
Appe	ndix B	. Case Study: Austin Energy's Austin SHINES	. 32	
	A.	Background		
	B.	Austin SHINES Project	. 32	
	C.	Regulatory History	. 34	
	D.	Cost Benefit Analysis	. 34	
	E.	Cost Recovery	. 35	
	F.	Current Status	. 35	
Appe	ndix C	Case Study: Central Maine Power's Advanced Metering Infrastructure Project	. 37	
	A.	Background	. 37	
	B.	AMI Project	. 37	
	C.	Regulatory History		
	D.	Cost Benefit Analysis		
	E.	Cost Recovery		
	F.	Current Status		
Appe		Case Study: Commonwealth Edison's Smart Grid Advanced Metering structure Deployment Plan		
	A.	Background		
	Д. В.	AMI Plan		
	C.	Regulatory History		
	D.	Cost Benefit Analysis		
	E.	Cost Recovery		
	F.	Current Status		
Appe	ndix E	. Case Study: Duke Energy Indiana's IVVC Project	. 50	
• •	A.	Background		
	B.	IVVC Project		
	C.	Regulatory History	. 51	

D.	Cost Benefit Analysis	51
E.	Cost Recovery	53
F.	Current Status	53
Appendix F	Case Study: Entergy Arkansas' Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) Plan	54
Α.	Background	
B.	AMI	54
C.	Regulatory History	56
D.	Cost Benefit Analysis	56
E.	Cost Recovery	57
F.	Current Status	58
Appendix (G. Case Study: Hawaiian Electric Company's Grid Modernization Strategy	59
Α.	Background	
B.	Grid Modernization Strategy	
C.	Regulatory History	61
D.	Cost Benefit Analysis	61
E.	Cost Recovery	63
F.	Current Status	64
Appendix H	H. Case Study: Potomac Electric Power Company's DC PLUG Initiative	65
Α.	Background	65
B.	DC PLUG	65
C.	Regulatory History	66
D.	Cost Benefit Analysis	67
E.	Cost Recovery	69
F.	Current Status	69
Appendix I	. Case Study: Public Service Company of Colorado's Advanced Grid Intelligence	e
and	Security (AGIS) Initiative	70
A.	Background	70
B.	Advanced Grid Intelligence and Security (AGIS) Initiative	70
C.	Regulatory History	71
D.	Cost Benefit Analysis	72
E.	Cost Recovery	74
F.	Current Status	75
Appendix J	I. Case Study: Public Service Electric and Gas Company's Energy Strong Program .	76
A.	Background	76
B.	Energy Strong Program	76
C.	Regulatory History	77
D.	Cost Benefit Analysis	78
E.	Cost Recovery	
F.	Current Status	81
Bibliograph	1V	82

Executive Summary

Study Highlights

- There is now significant experience from grid modernization investments that can be used to develop best practices.
- We reviewed 21 grid modernization initiatives, of which 10 have achieved regulatory approvals based on quantified benefits and costs. This review showed:
 - While most grid modernization efforts were initiated in response to local or state policy requirements, some were based on utility initiatives.
 - In most cases regulatory approvals were based on standardized benefit-cost tests, such as the Total Resource Cost (TRC) test; some notable examples received approvals based on less standard approaches such as break-even analysis; proof of cost prudency and foundational nature of investments for other utility initiatives to move forward.
 - Obtaining regulatory approvals took 13 months on average. Significant delays were associated with presentations of incomplete benefit-cost analysis and strong stakeholder oppositions.
 - The majority of cost recovery utilizes general rate case filings, but a number of cost recovery mechanisms rely on formula rates and rate riders to address regulatory lag. Some jurisdictions also introduced performance-based rates and performance incentive mechanisms in combination with the cost recovery of grid modernization investments.