



NEMA IEC/ISO Funded Delegate Report

Please complete this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and return to the NEMA staff contact indicated on the last page of this document.

Note: This report is intended to be a brief, stand alone summary of work for submittal to the Standards and Conformity Assessment Committee. It should only include those documents absolutely necessary. Detailed reports should be made to the SCs directly involved.

Funded Delegate:

I. Meeting
II. Date
III. Location

IV. Meeting Attendance

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Meeting attendance roster attached |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Meeting roster not available, list of attendees attached |

Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new members, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman/Convenor/Project Team or Maintenance Team Leader, non-NEMA funded U.S. persons, etc.).

V. MEETING OBSERVATIONS

Overview Of Meeting Results: Briefly review what was accomplished at the meeting. Issues related to the agendas, minutes papers, draft standards etc. should be shared with the appropriate SC members. In accordance with USNC policy, the documents should not be distributed or attached to this report.

(Use additional space as necessary)

A. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Very Successful -- U.S. positions were accepted in whole |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Successful -- Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not Successful -- U.S. positions were not accepted |

B. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon any NEMA Section/Division products.

(Issues Of Concern And Possible Consequences: The intent of this statement is to provide alerts, warning, cautions, and coordination. Convey the extent to which you think the work will affect member company products. Be as specific as possible.)

C. Rationale For Continued Participation:

Briefly state what might be accomplished by continued US participation, vs. the impact of a lack of US participation. Please include the future meeting schedule and work program.

D. Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies, National Committees or National/Regional standard developers?

(Include possible coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees, the USNC, UL, IEEE, CSA, ANCE, etc.)

Yes No, not now.
If yes, please identify:

E. Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in UL STP's, CANENA THSC Activities?

Yes No
 No related regional activity
If yes, please explain:

F. Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?

Yes No
If yes, please explain:

G. Please identify any IMMEDIATE actions by the members/industry which would be required as a result of this meeting.

H. Other Comments

(Attach additional sheet(s) as necessary)

Completed by:

Funded delegate:

(Please print)

Telephone/Telefax:

Email:

Date:

Signature:

RETURN TO: NEMA Staff

Attendees: